WHAT EVERY CARD NOT PRESENT MERCHANT SHOULD KNOW

Navigating Today’s Challenging Payments Ecosystem
Preface

Today more than ever, Card Not Present (CNP) merchants face mounting challenges managing a safe and efficient operation. This guide follows the path of the card-not-present transaction and provides CNP merchants with a detailed map for navigating the payment-processing ecosystem. We describe the tools, processes and best practices that CNP merchants can use to efficiently and cost-effectively process payments, mitigate pre-sales fraud and risk,(55,618),(945,846)
Effective Payment Processing
Getting Set-up for Success
Chapter 1

This chapter discusses ways to help merchants navigate numerous payment processing decisions and better understand the cost of compliance, security and the total cost of acceptance.

From building and maintaining a secure, cost-effective payment process to better understanding PCI compliance, this section provides insight into the CNP payment ecosystem, including:

- Rates and Fees
- Pros and Cons of Processing Types
- Secure Payment Processing
- Compliance vs. Non-compliance
- Total Cost of Acceptance

Understanding the Basics

The first step in setting up your payment processing is to establish the proper type of merchant account. There are many types of merchant accounts and each offers different rate and qualification requirements depending on your business type and transaction volume.

- The Merchant Category Code (MCC) is a four-digit number created by the Card Brands and assigned to merchants by the Payment Acquirer or Payment Processor. The MCC classifies each business by the type of goods and/or services provided. It’s important that your MCC is properly defined as it plays a key role in determining approval rates and rate qualifications as well as the interchange fees your business pays. Some MCCs may qualify a business for rates specific to their industry.

Your gateway provider should be your partner. When selecting a payment gateway, merchants should pay attention to costs: there are absolute costs like rates and fees that are simply a part of doing business but there are a number of options that can be configured to a merchant’s business needs and goals:

- Merchants should consider the different costs associated with each card brand; some may cost more to process than others. Meeting all qualification requirements can decrease the costs incurred by processing more expensive card types. Watch out for overlooked items like contract termination and hidden fees.

- Integrated payment solutions help reduce manual errors and reduce cost. By merging payment processing with business solutions, merchants can automate payment reconciliation with accounting and other business processes, cutting down on redundant data entry and eliminating the potential of human error.

Choose a provider that is flexible and able to adapt as your business evolves. Whatever the size of your business, work with your provider to achieve the right balance of rates and fees.
Rates and Fees

Rates and fees are a fact of life. Merchants pay transaction processing fees, which are dependent on both personal and business risk, average dollar amount per sale, total dollar amount of monthly sales and percentage of CNP sales.

Rates and fees are numerous and complex. Here are some primary ones to consider:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RATE TYPE</th>
<th>TYPICAL CLASSIFICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualified rate</td>
<td>This rate is the typically the lowest rate a merchant can receive and is charged for processing regular credit cards by an approved processing solution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-qualified rate</td>
<td>This rate is the next lowest tier of rate a merchant can receive and is typically charged for manually keyed-in card transactions as opposed to swiped transaction. This rate is also typically charged for rewards and/or business cards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-qualified rate</td>
<td>This rate is charged for transactions involving cards that do not qualify for the qualified or mid-qualified rates. This may include transactions where there is no address verification, a card is manually keyed-in, the authorization does not settle within the allowed time frame or if other information is missing. A merchant’s rate may increase (down grade) when transactions do not qualify for the lower rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interchange fee</td>
<td>This fee covers credit losses, fraud and authorization costs and is calculated as a percentage of the transaction. This fee may also be included in the bundled rate offered by merchant service providers. Merchants may request that they pay interchange fees on occurrence rather than as part of a bundled rate to better track transaction costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chargeback fees</td>
<td>This fee occurs when a cardholder disputes a transaction, which is then returned to the acquiring bank. Fees vary by provider and may increase with delayed responses from merchants to a chargeback inquiry.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interchange Rate Considerations

Interchange rates are a fee that the acquirer pays to the issuer and is passed through to the merchant. Interchange rates are set by the payment networks like VISA®, MasterCard®, and Discover®. There are many factors that impact the interchange rate:

- CNP transactions are typically subject to higher interchange rates than card-present transactions.
- Premium credit cards generally have a higher interchange rate than standard credit cards.
- Standard credit cards tend to have a higher rate than signature debit cards, which in turn have a higher rate than PIN debit transactions.

Interchange rates may occur as a pass-through charge or as part of a bundled rate. Depending on the factors at play in your business and for any specific transaction, you can pay the best rate or face higher rates when transactions are downgraded – generally the payment networks quote a low rate for a transaction based on meeting a number of requirements (card type, type of business, etc.) If you don’t meet the requirements of the transaction, you are downgraded to a more expensive interchange rate.

90% of the direct cost of every transaction. In all, there are more than 300 interchange categories impacting rates.
Understanding the Pros and Cons

Merchants should understand the pros and cons of various gateway features and processing considerations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSIDERATION</th>
<th>PROS</th>
<th>CONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Real Time Payment Processing</strong></td>
<td>Allows CNP merchants to keep up with the need for real-time account updates and expedited payment processing.&lt;br&gt;Can be used as a means to avoid disruption of service (e.g. cell phone services, utilities, etc.).&lt;br&gt;Reduction in data processing errors, which can be fixed instantaneously and help gives merchants more control over inventory and inventory turnover.&lt;br&gt;Increased customer satisfaction by avoiding delayed billing and reducing the use of paper.¹²</td>
<td>The auditing of a real-time processing system can be costly and time consuming and requires a backup to maintain the integrity of the data.&lt;br&gt;For some merchants, real-time processing may add more risk to the payments process than perceived benefit.¹²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batch Processing</strong></td>
<td>The ease-of-use for batch payment processing allows merchants to initiate the data process without requiring constant supervision, allowing for faster payments and streamlined reporting.&lt;br&gt;The automation of batch processing reduces the need for manpower and increases efficiency by requiring less computer processing time and creating a solid audit trail.⁵</td>
<td>Batch processing can be slow and there may be a time delay before transactions are processed and returned.&lt;br&gt;Requires maintaining a current master file.⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONALITY AND SUPPORT OF ALTERNATIVE PAYMENT METHODS**

| **Automated Clearing House (ACH) Payment Processing** | ACH payments are immediately credited to accounts, reducing the occurrence of manual errors that can happen with paper checks, and automating the collection of bad checks through the ACH processing service.³ | There are costs associated with ACH processing, including transaction fees and setup costs.<br>ACH differs from a wire transfer in that the money may not be available for immediate withdrawal. Additionally, lack of funds or disputed charges may cause a customer’s bank to withhold the money, preventing payment to the merchant.³ |

**E-Check Payment Processing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROS</th>
<th>CONS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduces processing costs in comparison to paper check processing or credit card transactions, saving up to 60% in processing fees.⁶</td>
<td>Money paid by eCheck is immediately debited from the consumer’s account as opposed to paper checks, which often take several days to process. The potential exists for higher insufficient funds which can delay provisioning of products or services and lead to some customer dissatisfaction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merchants typically receive funds sooner than they would via paper checks; funds can be received within one business day. Allows merchants to accept out-of-state and international checks virtually risk-free as this type of payment require customer authentication processes and account validation to prevent fraud and identify bad checks in real-time.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cross-Currency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROS</th>
<th>CONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross Currency allows merchants to settle in one currency for transactions submitted in multiple currencies, resulting in a consolidated payment to a single bank account.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There may be location-specific exchange restrictions when using cross-currency solutions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Multi-Currency**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROS</th>
<th>CONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multi-currency options can boost sales and customer experience. The key lies in identifying locations where this added feature makes sense.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some locations where card brands like MasterCard and VISA® account for the majority of CNP sales do not require local payment options, whereas other places may rely on local or alternative options to purchase goods.¹⁰</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ability to support additional forms of payment, like:
The best way to understand your real gateway requirements is through reporting and analytics. When selecting a payment gateway and considering the various payment processing types, make sure to demand robust reporting and data analytics features. Reporting provides line-of-sight into recent changes to business priorities and helps you develop custom strategies to address the shifting economics of the business or to prepare for upcoming events. There are a number of reporting metrics and analytical functions you should consider when choosing a gateway:

- **Authorization performance analysis**
  Predictive modeling can provide insight into the long-term value of customers and aid in the improvement of ratios profitability.

- **Risk assessment analysis**
  Completion of an overall evaluation of fraud, chargeback, refund, and decline risk assessment assists in understanding the high-risk components of the business.

- **Custom analysis**
  Every business is different, so CNP merchants should consider relevant analyses and reports they may want to custom build based on their industry and business operations.

- **Overall profitability analysis**
  Evaluation of all transaction volume leads to the creation of a logical segmentation of the entire business which are then ranked by profitability; solutions may be crafted to address low or unprofitable segments while marketing dollars can be more effectively spent on highly profitable channels.

- **Chargeback, fraud & refund forecasting**
  Predictive forecasting of chargeback, fraud and refund activity allows for appropriate preparations and adjustments to minimize risks associated with processing for the business.

**Safe and Secure Payment Processing**

Compliant payment processing protects merchants from costly breaches but also boosts customer confidence and minimizes reputational damage. But merchants that are compliant are still at risk of data breach. That is why maintaining additional layers of security across the entire payment life cycle is essential. While PCI compliance is mandated, security-optimized transaction processing is not. CNP merchants should be prudent in insulating their payment processing operation beyond the requirements of PCI with adequate data protection, tokenization and end-to-end encryption. Secure transaction processing requires vendor-specific payment protocols that reach from the point of origin, through the network, to the originating point upon reception of authorization.

**DATA PROTECTION**

PCI compliance is only one step in protecting data. CNP merchants should be sure that they and their chosen payment processor are PCI certified, and take additional steps to guard against data and security problems.

- **Encryption**
  CNP merchants should encrypt data being sent across public networks, including phone lines, FTP and email.

- **Merchant partner data protection**
  Merchants are responsible (and liable) for cardholder data accessed by business partners and should ensure that any marketing affiliates, fulfillment houses or other vendors are adequately protecting cardholder data.

- **Limited access to cardholder data**
  Business processes and operations will sometimes require that other departments have access to cardholder data; CNP merchants should restrict access to sensitive data to only those departments that need it and should enlist the help of their payment processor to set up role-based data access.

- **Secure data storage**
  Online merchants should never store customer card data on their servers or on a system outside of the firewall. Additionally, information stored internally should be encrypted or not stored at all and tokenized.

- **Transaction routing analytics**
  When using multiple processors, analytics provides the visibility and monitoring necessary to avoid traffic problems and proactively uncover network vulnerabilities that can often be hidden under layers of routing redundancy.
DID YOU KNOW?
The average breach costs merchants $194 per customer record. With the average breach totaling 28,000 records, the loss amounts to roughly $5.5 million for each incident.11

TOKENIZATION
Part of end-to-end secure transaction processing is a process known as tokenization. Tokenization replaces sensitive user data with a reversible benign substitute.12 As an augmentation to PCI compliance, tokenization simplifies validation by reducing the number of components for which PCI requirements apply, though this solution does not eliminate the need to maintain PCI compliance.12

There are different implementations of tokenization, from de-tokenization methods to deployment models and technologies. The importance lies in protecting the process and maintaining strong security controls to ensure the effectiveness of the tokenization process and continued compliance.

The main benefit to tokenization is the protection offered to consumers, as their information is guarded from being released to hackers. Additionally, tokenization offers merchants coverage against potential damages not only to their business, but also to their reputation. As evidenced by the recent Target hack, when retailers fail to protect themselves against a major security breach, they become liable to each and every person whose information has been compromised.

Tokenization does have some drawbacks. It is an all or nothing approach to security, and cannot be implemented in pieces, unlike other solutions. With tokenization, several other aspects of security have to be in place to guarantee a safe environment for data. It is not a magic wand ensuring security; it works in conjunction with a comprehensive security policy.

END-TO-END (E2E) ENCRYPTION
End-to-end (E2E) encryption works hand-in-hand with tokenization to ensure complete security of cardholder data, from point-of-sale throughout the entire transaction lifecycle.13 By encrypting the data at the e-commerce payment software and maintaining encryption throughout, the card number is never stored unencrypted by the merchant.

Typically, merchants store customer cardholder data before it moves into the payment process, putting it at risk if a breach were to occur. With E-2-E encryption, the card number is separated from sales information and replaced with a token, and the transaction is processed independent from the merchant via controls in the front-end and back-end processes. This protects sensitive information from would-be thieves, who cannot commit fraud with the meaningless token information.
Compliance in Payment Processing

Merchants need to ensure their business is compliant with the Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS). The PCI DSS is “a framework of specifications, tools, measurements and support resources to help organizations ensure the safe handling of cardholder information.” This standard was created by VISA® to provide merchants with consistent data security protocol.

PCI DSS compliance can have great benefits for merchants, including increased customer confidence that the merchant is adequately protecting sensitive card information. The PCI DSS is comprised of twelve security requirements - each consisting of numerous tasks and steps to complete - to protect cardholder data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOALS</th>
<th>PCI DSS REQUIREMENTS – VALIDATED BY SELF OR OUTSIDE ASSESSMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Build and maintain a secure network</td>
<td>1. Install and maintain a firewall configuration to protect cardholder data 2. Do not use vendor-supplied defaults for system passwords and other security parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protect cardholder data</td>
<td>3. Protect stored data 4. Encrypt transmission of cardholder data across open, public networks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain a vulnerability management program</td>
<td>5. Use and regularly update anti-virus software 6. Develop and maintain secure systems and applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement strong access control measures</td>
<td>7. Restrict access to cardholder data by business need-to-know 8. Assign a unique ID to each person with computer access 9. Restrict physical access to cardholder data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regularly monitor and test networks</td>
<td>10. Track and monitor all access to cardholder data 11. Regularly test security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintain an information security policy</td>
<td>12. Maintain a policy that addresses information security</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Find the Right Balance

Compliance is not a one-time occurrence: it is ongoing. For that reason, there are four levels of compliance and associated costs for CNP merchants to consider. The cost of compliance includes the infrastructure and technology costs associated with closing the gaps identified in the merchant’s current business model. Annual costs refer to the costs to maintain PCI compliance from year to year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEVEL</th>
<th>MERCHANT CRITERIA</th>
<th>COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>ANNUAL COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>VISA®, MasterCard &amp; Discover</td>
<td>Any merchant that processes greater than 6 million credit card transactions per year via any acceptance channel. American Express 2.5 million or more American Express Card transactions per year.</td>
<td>Annual PCI data security assessment conducted onsite by a third party vendor in addition to quarterly network scans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>VISA, MasterCard &amp; Discover</td>
<td>Any merchant processes 1 to 6 million transactions regardless of channel. American Express 50,000 to 2.5 million American Express Card transactions per year.</td>
<td>Self-assessment conducted annually by a third party vendor in addition to quarterly network scans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>VISA, MasterCard &amp; Discover</td>
<td>Any merchant who processes 20,000 to 1 million online transactions per year, regardless of channel. American Express Less than 50,000 American Express Card transactions per year.</td>
<td>Self-assessment conducted annually by a third party vendor in addition to quarterly network scans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4</td>
<td>VISA, MasterCard &amp; Discover</td>
<td>Less than 20,000 e-commerce transactions or 1 million total transactions via any channel.</td>
<td>Self-assessment conducted annually by a third party vendor in addition to quarterly network scans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Merchants Need to Weigh the Total Cost of Acceptance

The total cost of acceptance will vary from merchant to merchant and is dependent on a number of considerations. CNP merchants must weigh cost, benefits and limitations of payment processing options.

By following industry standards and best practices along every step of the payment process, businesses have the opportunity to decrease many of these costs and even increase revenue. Payments-related expenses are a cost of doing business, but – when managed properly – can be a driver of increased efficiency, growth and long-term stability and savings.

The Bottom Line

The bottom line is that non-compliance is much more expensive than compliance. Fines for non-compliance can range from $5,000 to $100,000 per month at the discretion of the payment brand. This cost is typically passed through the bank and eventually rests on the merchant. Another risk is termination of relationships with your merchant bank in addition to raised transaction fees.18

If a data security breach takes place, a fine of $50-$90 per cardholder compromised can be imposed, along with an increased risk of civil suit brought by customers.19 Credit card account providers can also penalize merchants by suspending acceptance. Aside from the dollar amount, brand and reputational damage risk can be costly as well.

DID YOU KNOW?

PCI COMPLIANCE – Annual audits cost merchants anywhere from $225,000 to $500,000 or more.20

If a data security breach takes place, a fine of $50-$90 per cardholder compromised can be imposed, along with an increased risk of civil suit brought by customers. Credit card account providers can also penalize merchants by suspending acceptance. Aside from the dollar amount, brand and reputational damage risk can be costly as well.
This chapter looks at some of the recent trends and root causes of fraud, a review of some of the current and emerging fraud prevention technologies as well as comprehensive risk management strategies that can be pursued.

Fraud: A Growing Problem

According to JPMorgan’s 2013 study, 61% of organizations experiences attempted or actual payments fraud, with 27% of them reporting that the number of fraud incidents increased. Recent high-profile data breaches highlight these risks and online fraud shows no signs of slowing. CEB TowerGroup estimates that more than $2 trillion in transactions will occur in CNP channels by 2016 with merchants losing more than $5 billion to fraud.

Common Types of CNP Fraud

From hacking and friendly fraud to social engineering and malware, fraud is here to stay. Fraudsters are clever. It seems like every day security companies are documenting fraudster’s new and innovative methods for separating CNP merchants from their hard-earned money.

**Phishing** Phishing is a serious and increasing problem that occurs when fraudsters try to obtain sensitive information (usually usernames and passwords or credit card or bank account numbers) in an attempt to utilize this confidential data to make fraudulent purchases or steal a person’s identity. The attempt to steal information is made via electronic communication like an email or instant message and leads victims to a website asking to submit this sensitive data.

**Account takeover** Account takeover is another serious type of fraud that compromises a user account and puts sensitive information at risk. Fraudsters target web users while the users are accessing their various accounts, email addresses and social networks with the goal of stealing these credentials to make fraudulent purchases.

**Carding** Carding happens when fraudsters use websites with real-time transaction processing to validate stolen card information (credit card numbers and personal data) by making a small purchase so as to not attract attention onto their activity. If their fraudulent purchase goes through, signaling that the card is good, fraudsters will use the stolen card number to make additional purchases or will sell the information to other criminals.

**Malware** Potential attackers can either use phishing to mislead the victim to install a malicious app or exploit another remote vulnerability of some app and conduct background monitoring. A malicious app can disguise itself as an app that runs in the background (e.g. music) to conduct monitoring, disrupt computer operation, gather sensitive information, or gain access to private computer systems.

**Location masking** This threat does not directly affect cardholders but occurs when a fraudster masks their true location and computer characteristics. The fraudster’s machine typically masks many of its features. For example, the browser being used may be Firefox but may be reported as IE9, the operating system may be Linux but may be reported as Windows, and the IP address may be misrepresented, hiding the true location of the fraudster. Online services, websites and applications typically rely heavily on IP location information to function – e.g. a business may provide general information over the web, but completely deny online service requests from locations where it does not have a presence.

DID YOU KNOW?

In 2012 Issuers, Merchant and Acquirers experienced gross fraud losses of $11.27 billion, up 14.6% over the prior years.
THE CRIMINAL MIND

SOME POPULAR SCHEMES ON THE RISE

Threat 1 Anonymizing proxies allow fraudsters to use stolen or fraudulently obtained credit card data to make purchases or commit click fraud.

Threat 2 Criminals have learned to thwart cookies and other inconsistent identifiers when making fraudulent purchases online, making it more difficult for merchants that implement digital fingerprinting or other technologies to detect.

Threat 3 Criminals leverage affiliate networks to commit fraud through seemingly legitimate marketing channels, making it very difficult to detect.

Threat 4 Merchants are falling prey to increasing cases of friendly fraud where chargebacks are used as a form of shoplifting and customers claim they never received goods or services because of buyer’s remorse.

Fraud is Getting Harder to Prevent

Modern technology gives customers the opportunity to read, communicate and make purchases online from the convenience of home or other locations. However, with this convenience comes risk, including credit card fraud, identity theft and other online threats. The short story is hard to stomach - fraud is getting harder to prevent. There are several causes:

- **Less personally identifiable information (PII)** PII available for verification and authentication purposes as publicly available information is on the decline. In 2013, only 30% of that information was publicly available compared to 78% in 2000. This is partially due to the increasing number of threats to PII and the response by websites and lawmakers to limit the accessibility and distribution of PII.

- **Organizational level of tolerance** Merchants don’t want to mitigate fraud at the expense of user experience and sales. This means that merchants need methods to detect and prevent fraud that are transparent from end-to-end. Organizations are increasingly looking to incrementally reduce their fraud in a way that does not negatively impact user experience or damage revenue unnecessarily.

- **Easily obtainable data** Account takeover, occurring when a fraudster obtains an individual’s personal information, is a prevalent source of fraud. Additionally, phishing is still a shockingly common way for credentials to become vulnerable. A username and password alone allow easy access for cybercriminals who can obtain that data.

- **Bugs and issues with technology** Bugs in encryption technology can also put sensitive data at risk. A recent example of this is the Heartbleed threat, which allowed would-be attackers to exploit vulnerability of OpenSSL (the encryption technology that secured traffic to “https” sites) without leaving a trace for two years and affected two thirds of active web sites.
Fraud Solutions

As fraudsters’ techniques evolve, merchants must remain vigilant. The good news is that there are an increasing number of software and hardware-based security solutions that mitigate the risk of fraud.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOLUTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMON USES</th>
<th>SHORTFALLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Device-Specific Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital fingerprinting</td>
<td>Digital fingerprinting allows analysis of a remote device and its characteristics, including installed plugins and software, time zone and other identifying features of the device.</td>
<td>By identifying potentially fraudulent devices, merchants can take preventative measures.</td>
<td>The ability to collect digital or device fingerprints relies on JavaScripting or another client-side scripting language. Users on mobile devices or using privacy software have limited client-side scripting, making it more difficult to fingerprint these users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared device reputation</td>
<td>Sharing the ability to identify fraudsters that have already attacked sites with peers within a system (within and across industries).</td>
<td>The benefit of shared device reputation is the prevention of first-time losses as well as speeding up ROI.</td>
<td>This technique is only effective in preventing fraudsters that have attacked before and not on emerging threats not already stored in the shared database. Sharing this type of information can be seen as aiding competitors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOLUTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMON USES</th>
<th>SHORTFALLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IP-Based Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proxy databases</td>
<td>A database of known proxies that fraudsters use to hide their IP addresses, and their true locations. Proxy-piercing information via IP address provides non-invasive insight into the risks involved with accepting transactions from specific IP addresses.</td>
<td>Proxy identification is used to detect malicious traffic.</td>
<td>Database must be current for it to be effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geolocation</td>
<td>Geolocation uses digital information via the internet to identify the geographical location of a fraudster.</td>
<td></td>
<td>While this forensic information can be used in court, some geolocation tools may be limited in the granularity of data provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOLUTION</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>COMMON USES</td>
<td>SHORTFALLS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Validation</td>
<td>Customer validation uses consumer data from various public and private sources to validate the billing information associated with the payment type.</td>
<td>Customer validation can happen at multiple levels including checking a billing address via an issuer to validating full name, address, phone and email.</td>
<td>Validations are limited capabilities of the provider and leveraging more detailed solutions can be expensive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identity verification</td>
<td>This type of tool can be used to verify and validate a person’s identity based on information they enter such as name, address, date of birth, country specific ID (i.e. SSN) and phone.</td>
<td>Using identity verification, specifically for merchants with high-value transactions or those involved in age-restricted industries such as alcohol, tobacco or gaming can help prevent instances of identity fraud.</td>
<td>If not automated, this technique can hinder the customer experience by slowing transaction speed. Additionally, asking for PII the can be seen as invasive and customers are hesitant to add this information to an ecommerce transaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge based authentication</td>
<td>Knowledge based authentication for high-risk CNP transactions involves a user answering a question that cannot be found in a wallet or online (prior residences, mortgage amounts, etc.).</td>
<td>This type of authentication is often used in high dollar amount transactions or age-restricted industries to verify a user’s identity.</td>
<td>This requires a user to remember potentially obscure pieces of personal information and can extremely impact the overall user experience since this authentication occurs after an identity verification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D Secure</td>
<td>3D Secure is an additional authentication step for CNP payments. Visa developed this XML-based protocol to improve the security of Internet payments.</td>
<td>This protocol is used as an additional security layer for online credit and debit card transactions.</td>
<td>Places an inconvenience on the customer by adding authentication step during the sales process. Abandonment rates may increase when customers see the 3D logo by Visa or Mastercard.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mobile-Based Technology**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOLUTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMON USES</th>
<th>SHORTFALLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile secure location</td>
<td>This tool is a data point allowing for verification of a cardholder's mobile location during post-transaction review, allowing for the identification of actual fraud cases and the reduction of false positive administrative costs.</td>
<td>This data point reduces cardholder service interruptions, resulting in optimized customer experience.</td>
<td>Secure location is dependent on mobile phone availability and is an out of band fraud prevention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification and isolation of suspect transactions</td>
<td>Using radio environment examination captured by a customer’s mobile device during the transaction, merchants can gather information about Wi-Fi access points in the area, verified GPS information and IP address information.</td>
<td>This information is processed on a secure server, which examines signals to obtain a location estimation via Wi-Fi access points, cell towers and geolocated IP addresses.</td>
<td>This solution is post-transaction completion and does not prevent the fraud before it happens.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fraud Solutions Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOLUTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMON USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biometrics</td>
<td>This solution uses keystroke analysis, fingerprinting, voice, iris and facial recognition technology to identify and validate people.</td>
<td>Has expanded the ability for businesses to authenticate a person’s identity using components other than simple data points like name, address, location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email verification</td>
<td>This emerging solution associates email address with an individual and/or address. Some technologies leverage algorithmic, linking technology to evaluate an email provided with order information, name, address, and phone number providing a fraud score for decisioning.</td>
<td>Authenticates that an email address being used in a transaction is associated with the name and address provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media validation</td>
<td>This is a Profile-based solution that can be looked up via SM “token” or email address and allows for a way to validate an individual’s personal information.</td>
<td>This solution can provide a secondary way to validate real customers, including millennials, unbanked persons, non-U.S. customers and the younger demographic. These users cannot typically be validated by traditional Know Your Customer processes. Linking attributes to multiple identities reduces false positives while providing a reduction in manual reviews.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost of Overprotection

While employing every single fraud prevention tool available is neither feasible nor necessary, merchants should be aware of the types of solutions available and employ each as needed. In the end, merchants need to evaluate the cost of fraud prevention against the benefits. It’s easy to fall into the trap of “turning on” all fraud prevention measures to ensure that nothing seeps through the cracks; however, there is such a thing as being too aggressive. Having a 0.00% chargeback ratio is not a desired outcome if you are turning away 10% of your good customers in the process. A balance needs to exist between what you are turning away and what you accept as valid.

When fraud-scoring tools are too sensitive, the result is an unnecessary amount of false positives, causing card declines for legitimate purchases. There can be as many as 40 false positives for every legitimate attempt at fraud (a 40:1 ratio), meaning that up to 97% of transactions flagged as high-risk can be legitimate transactions. These false positives result in card declines, significant sales loss, blocked accounts and overall poor customer experience.

The next chapter will discuss how effective post-sale management operations can limit the sales impacts borne of overly conservative upfront fraud prevention.

A Layered Approach to Fraud Prevention

There is no one “silver bullet” to protect card not present transactions from fraud. A layered approach to security is absolutely necessary. CNP merchants should cover their bases by leveraging proven technologies to evaluate and analyze the type of fraud you are experiencing. Once determined, leverage multiple fraud prevention methods that address your specific fraud vulnerabilities, while protecting against others. It is important to remember to balance the lever and determine the “acceptable” amount of fraud for your organization without dramatically impacting sales.

Jeff Sawitke, Chief Product Officer for Verifi Inc., recently pointed out in an Internet Retailer article that merchants need to expand their current fraud prevention tools to emerging channels: “If a retailer is using IP address verification on its e-commerce site, it should be using it for the mobile site too,” Sawitke says. “The goal is to create a consistent, singular view into customer behavior across all sales channels. Then, as it relates to fraud management, the retailer should tune its strategies to each channel.” A holistic approach to fraud and risk management can decrease losses and increase sales and improve customer service. Fraud is not preventable, but an ongoing investment in fraud prevention can yield dividends and improve bottom line.

EMERGING SOLUTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOLUTION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COMMON USES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biometrics</td>
<td>This solution uses keystroke analysis, fingerprinting, voice, iris and facial recognition technology to identify and validate people.</td>
<td>Has expanded the ability for businesses to authenticate a person’s identity using components other than simple data points like name, address, location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email verification</td>
<td>This emerging solution associates email address with an individual and/or address. Some technologies leverage algorithmic, linking technology to evaluate an email provided with order information, name, address, and phone number providing a fraud score for decisioning.</td>
<td>Authenticates that an email address being used in a transaction is associated with the name and address provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media validation</td>
<td>This is a Profile-based solution that can be looked up via SM “token” or email address and allows for a way to validate an individual’s personal information.</td>
<td>This solution can provide a secondary way to validate real customers, including millennials, unbanked persons, non-U.S. customers and the younger demographic. These users cannot typically be validated by traditional Know Your Customer processes. Linking attributes to multiple identities reduces false positives while providing a reduction in manual reviews.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Navigating Post Sale Chargeback Challenges

Chapter 3

As mentioned in the previous chapter, effective front-end fraud protection is vital to a healthy CNP business, but being overly conservative can unnecessarily curtail sales and profits. This chapter will explore the concept of total chargeback management as a method for preventing and recovering revenue lost to fraudulent chargebacks.

Chargebacks are a major problem. In 2013, merchants reportedly paid a staggering $3.10 on the dollar for losses incurred from online fraud, which includes chargebacks. In addition to the cost of each chargeback for which they are held liable, merchants are responsible for paying fees – which can exceed $75 per dispute – to financial institutions and must bear the cost of replacing and reshipping lost or stolen goods. These costs can cripple a business.

How Chargebacks Occur

Chargebacks occur when a customer contests a card payment with the issuing bank. They can occur for a number of reasons. Here are some common reasons:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TYPE OF CHARGEBACK</th>
<th>CAUSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Criminal Fraud</td>
<td>This common type of chargeback occurs when an unauthorized transaction takes place.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Not Processed</td>
<td>This is a common type of chargeback that happens when a customer returns goods to a merchant and requests a refund, and then reports that the credit was not posted to their account.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item Not Received</td>
<td>This occurs when a customer pays for an item and claims they did not receive it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Problems</td>
<td>Chargebacks of this sort are related to a technical issue during the payment process. This could be a problem between the issuing bank and the merchant, resulting in a double charge to the cardholder. These chargebacks may also be related to issues during the authorization process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canceled Recurring Transaction</td>
<td>Canceled recurring transactions occur when the cardholder notifies issuing bank that s/he asked a merchant to cancel a recurring transaction but the card was still charged, merchant did not notify the cardholder prior to processing recurring transaction per the agreement or the recurring transaction amount was greater than the pre-authorized dollar amount.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friendly Fraud</td>
<td>Friendly fraud happens when a customer makes a purchase and then requests a chargeback, even though they have received the goods or services they purchased. There are several friendly fraud reason codes. Some common types are as follows: The first common type of chargeback related to friendly fraud is “Non-Receipt of Goods/Services”: Visa: 30 MasterCard: 55 (goods) &amp; 59 (services) American Express: C08 (May also be coded as 155) Discover: RG (May also be coded as 4755) Another common type is “Canceled Recurring Transaction”: Visa: 41 MasterCard: 41 American Express: C10 Finally, friendly fraud chargebacks may be due to “Cardholder Does Not Recognize Transaction”: Visa: 75 MasterCard: 63 American Express: FR3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Friendly Fraud - A Growing Problem

Friendly fraud happens when a consumer fraudulently reports a legitimate charge to their financial institution to obtain a refund, leaving the merchant to cover the cost of the goods or services in question as well as related card association fees. While some friendly fraud is accidental (when someone does not realize that a family member has made a purchase using a card or does not recognize the billing descriptor on their credit card statement and reports it as fraudulent), other causes can be buyer’s remorse, a sophisticated form of shoplifting or a case of identity theft.

Friendly fraud is so easy that it’s costing CNP merchants billions each year; an estimated $11.8 billion in 2012, according to Visa®. In addition to being a costly epidemic, friendly fraud has the potential to put CNP merchants out of business entirely; merchants with higher than 1% of charges reversed as chargebacks can lose the ability to accept credit cards altogether.

With the recent breach and theft of more than 100 million credit and debit card numbers from major US retailers, the threat of friendly fraud is at an all-time high.

$11.8 Billion in Friendly Fraud

Chargeback Life Cycle

1. The cardholder disputes a transaction.
2. The issuer sends the transaction back electronically to the acquirer.
3. Once the acquirer receives the chargeback, it will resolve the issue or forward the issue to the merchant. Merchant can learn of chargeback up to 180 days after date of purchase.
4. The merchant can either accept the chargeback item or dispute and represent. Once addressed, the merchant can resubmit to the acquirer.
5. The acquirer reviews information and supporting evidence received from the merchant. If the acquirer sees sufficient evidence that the merchant has addressed the chargeback, the acquirer represents the chargeback electronically to the issuer.
6. The issuer receives the represented item and takes one of two actions:
   a. The issuer will re-post the transaction to the cardholders account, or
   b. The issuer may submit the items to the acquirer for a financial liability decision if the chargeback issue is not adequately addressed.
7. Finally, the cardholder receives the dispute resolution information and is either re-billed or credited for the item.
Chargebacks: Common Myths and Misconceptions

Fighting chargebacks can be a time-consuming and resource-draining task for merchants that do not have the necessary expertise. Debunking common misconceptions and addressing common problems in the dispute resolution process provides a good basis for merchants looking to implement a winning chargeback program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MYTH</th>
<th>REALITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You can't win CNP chargeback disputes</td>
<td>Employing the expertise of a vendor can not only relieve the time and resources needed to dispute chargebacks and reclaim lost dollars, but some vendors are able to provide net recovery rates of more than 50%, significantly boosting a merchant’s bottom line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winning chargeback disputes will reduce your monthly chargeback ratio with your acquiring bank</td>
<td>Chargebacks are not reduced if won; once they occur, they count against a merchant’s chargeback ratio, even though a merchant may be recovering money.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It’s impossible to win a CNP chargeback if you don’t have a signed receipt.</td>
<td>Compelling evidence can include a number of items other than a signed receipt, including photographs or e-mails proving a link between the person receiving the merchandise and the cardholder, or proving that the cardholder disputing the transaction is in possession of the merchandise.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You can’t lower your chargeback ratio without reducing sales</td>
<td>There are a number of steps merchants can take to lower their chargeback ratios without reducing sales. A common method is requiring customers to register their cardholder information (validated by answering a number of questions to which only issuers and the cardholder know the answers and selecting a secret phrase and password). This feature allows online merchants to validate return visitors in a simple, non-intrusive manner, preserving legitimate sales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You can’t fight PayPal Disputes</td>
<td>PayPal offers Seller Protection from chargebacks to merchants who meet the eligibility requirements based on Unauthorized Transactions or Item Not Received. The scope protects Sellers for the entire amount of payment and also waives the Chargeback Fee, if applicable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As illustrated in the previous sections, chargebacks happen for various reasons. The reasons for occurrence, risk of occurrence and types vary widely depending on the billing model of the merchant. Chargebacks can occur on one-time purchases or on recurring purchases and it is important to understand the ramifications – as well as the different preventative measures – each scenario entails.

Chargebacks: An Ounce of Prevention

Preventing chargebacks is essential to stopping unnecessary revenue loss and merchant account problems. There are several basic practices merchants should follow to reduce chargebacks.

This starts with improving internal operational measures to limit the opportunity for chargebacks to occur:

- **Be proactive.** Monitor chargebacks to analyze where there is room to take preventative measures. By tracking chargebacks by reason code, merchants are able to tie these reasons back to a specific remedy and incorporate the necessary preventative measures.

- **Separate initial chargebacks from chargebacks that stand after representment.** By looking at the ratios of unresolved chargebacks post-representment, merchants can evaluate the effectiveness of representment procedures unrelated to supporting documentation. A high proportion of net chargebacks that are not reversed points to a need for review of sales and order process and customer correspondence.

- **Implement additional fraud controls.** According to VISA®, there are several actions a merchant should take to prevent against fraudulent chargebacks before they occur:
  - Monitor IP address and account number velocity
  - Place restrictions on IP addresses with a history of previous fraud:
    - IP addresses with a history of fraud-related chargebacks or other fraudulent transactions should be blocked.
  - Only allow a certain number of payment cards to be linked to a single IP address.
  - Limit the number of times a card can be used or set up flags per the number of transactions made with a card during a specific time period (24 hours)
  - Prohibit the same card from being used to make payment on more than “X” number of different accounts
  - Monitor accounts with excessive usage
  - Monitor high-value transactions

PayPal offers Seller Protection from chargebacks to merchants who meet the eligibility requirements based on Unauthorized Transactions or Item Not Received. The scope protects Sellers for the entire amount of payment and also waives the Chargeback Fee, if applicable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BILLING MODEL</th>
<th>CAUSE</th>
<th>PREVENTATIVE MEASURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single Sale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Information requests from cardholder’s bank.</td>
<td>● Offer quality products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Processing error: transaction processed multiple times resulting in multiple charges.</td>
<td>● Offer clear descriptions of products and services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Refund not processed.</td>
<td>● Post an anti-fraud statement on merchant website</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Invalid account number.</td>
<td>● Maintain clear records of sale, including transaction logs, receipts, and CVV/AVS audit trails.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Transaction not processed timely.</td>
<td>● Provide ample customer service, including telephone numbers, email addresses and chat communication for customers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Simple billing error (overcharging).</td>
<td>● Use clear billing descriptors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Use AVS &amp; CVV verification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Provide status updates for delayed shipment of orders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Enact clear and quick refund policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● The card issuer may have canceled the card account or charged back a previous recurring transaction.</td>
<td>● If the transaction was canceled and a credit was issued to the cardholder, merchants should inform the acquirer of the date the credit was issued.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● The cardholder may have withdrew permission for the merchant to charge the account, canceled payment on a membership fee or canceled the card account.</td>
<td>● If the transaction was canceled but the customer still received the goods or services, the merchant should supply proof to the acquirer that the customer used the goods or services between the date of the previous billing statement and the date of the requested cancellation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● The merchant exceeded the pre-authorized dollar amount without notifying the cardholder prior to the date of the transaction, notified the cardholder in writing within ten days of the recurring transaction without receiving consent from the cardholder, or received notice that the cardholder’s account had been closed.</td>
<td>● If there is evidence that the cardholder expressly renewed the services contract, evidence of the renewal should be supplied to the acquirer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Failure to render services</td>
<td>● When a customer cancels recurring payments with a final payment still due, merchants should contact the cardholder directly for payment rather than automatically billing the customer, to avoid potential misunderstandings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Refund not processed.</td>
<td>● Merchants should handle customer cancellation requests in a timely manner and be sure to follow up with a notification to the customer that their recurring payment account will be closed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Invalid account number.</td>
<td>● Process credits promptly. Cancellation requests received on the cusp of upcoming recurring payment transaction dates often result in an unnecessary charge and a credit should be posted to the cardholder right away, along with a notification that the credit has been issued. The following chapter will explore recurring billing in greater detail.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Transaction not processed timely.</td>
<td>● Merchants should send out monthly (bi-monthly, yearly, etc.) reminders prior to sending out the bill or charging a customer’s account. These reminders can be helpful to consumers who may have forgotten about a recurring charge and help to prevent chargebacks from “Cardholder does not recognize transaction.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● Simple billing error (overcharging)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chargeback Management – Recovery

Even if you’ve instituted comprehensive chargeback prevention measures both internally and with external tools, chargebacks can and will happen. Verifi’s research showed that, 86% of the time cardholders will not contact the merchant until after a dispute was filed...or not at all! Chargebacks are a part of doing business for CNP merchants. The rules are always changing. Most recently, the rules for Reason Code 83 shifted, allowing merchants more leverage in fighting friendly fraud.

So how does a business recover lost revenue from chargebacks? There are several strategies a merchant can employ to reduce the operational (and often hidden) costs of chargebacks:

**OPERATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS**

- **Automation** streamlining workflow and eliminating human error reduces the time and resources needed to process a chargeback. Automation also allows for merchants to remain current on credit card processing rules and make the dispute process much simpler.

- **Data control** Collecting information from chargebacks allows the merchant to adjust business practices if necessary and identify internal issues.

- **Chargeback tolerance** It makes no sense to spend more disputing a chargeback than one would incur in chargeback fees. Things to consider when a chargeback occurs:
  - What is the cost of the chargeback to my business?
  - What is the cost of fighting the chargeback?
  - What is the probability that the business will be successful in the dispute?

**WAYS TO MANAGE DISPUTES**

- **End-to-end software solutions** This goes hand-in-hand with the automation process in that it allows merchants to bundle all the necessary chargeback processes into one platform. Businesses should invest in software that handles all aspects of the chargeback process, from analysis to reporting.

- **Improve the process** Streamlining workflow and eliminating human error reduces the time and resources needed to process a chargeback. Automation allows for merchants to remain current on credit card processing rules and make the dispute process much simpler.
Prioritize Businesses should have a fight or flight policy built into the chargeback process. It makes no sense to spend more time disputing a chargeback than one would incur in chargeback fees.

Make your evidence compelling. When disputing a chargeback, it is important to provide documentation between the cardholder and the merchant that proves the merchant communicated with the cardholder and that the cardholder knew about the transaction.

Transaction based support. If you can prove that the merchant swiped or imprinted the card and received an authorization approval and the cardholder’s signature, it is much easier to receive a favorable decision.

Identity based support. To recover funds lost from the chargeback process, the best way for a merchant to contest a chargeback is to give the bank evidence that proves the transaction was authorized and the identity of the customer is the same as the cardholder. This is done by providing evidence usually captured in a merchant’s CRM such as:

- Customer identity (PII data- email, address, physical address, name, DOB, etc.)
- Purchase history and usage information
- Contact history (email/phone communication)

Get outside expertise. Tasking a third party with all or some of the chargebacks allows the business to free up resources and valuable time that can better be spent on running a successful enterprise. Additionally, employing a third party that is experienced in dispute research and support and who has established relationships with processors, issuers and banks allows for seamless transmission of chargeback data. In aggregate, these can ensure higher funds recovery and faster and efficient resolution.

Verifi’s research showed that, 86% of the time cardholders will not contact the merchant until after a dispute was filed...or not at all!

Tips for Fighting PayPal Disputes

PayPal offers Seller Protection from chargebacks for eligible merchants who meet certain requirements, depending on whether the merchant is seeking coverage for Item Not Received or Unauthorized Protection.

ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

The basic requirements for Seller protection mandate that the item be shipped to shipping address on Transaction Details Page with sales documentation provided in a timely manner and the Seller’s primary residence must be in the United States. Addition requirements for protection by dispute type include:

- Payment must be marked “eligible” (Unauthorized Transaction Coverage) or “partially eligible” (Item Not Received Coverage) for Seller protection on Transaction Details page for Chargeback protection
- Merchant must provide Proof of Delivery (Item Not Received Coverage) or Proof of Shipment (Unauthorized Transaction Coverage)
- Pre-ordered and made-to-order goods should be shipped within the timeframe listed in item listing or within 7 days after receipt of payment (Item Not Received Coverage)
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Visa Chargeback Reason Code 83 Change: What Does This Mean?

There are multiple benefits:

As of April 2013, VISA® expanded the scope of what is considered “compelling evidence” for representations. As a result, several changes were made:

- Additional types of evidence will be allowed
- Additional chargeback reason codes will be added
- New requirements being added requiring Issuers attempt to contact cardholder when a merchant provides compelling evidence

Most merchants consider Reason Code 83 only, but the rule change impacts others as well:

- Reason Code 30 - Services Not Provided or Merchandise Not Received
- Reason Code 53 - Not as Described or Defective Merchandise
- Reason Code 81 - Fraud - Card-Present Environment

There are multiple benefits expected as a result of these changes:

- Issuers – better clarity when dispute should go to pre-arbitration vs. arbitration
- Cardholders – better chance that a dispute could be resolved with info provided by merchant
- Acquirers & Merchants – additional opportunities to resolve disputes

The “Compelling Evidence” rule changes do not remedy chargebacks but there are important changes for both issuers and merchants:

- Merchants can provide information that attempts to prove that the cardholder received goods/services, participated in or benefited from the transaction
- Issuers must initiate pre-arbitration prior to filing for arbitration. This gives merchants an opportunity to accept liability prior to incurring arbitration costs
- VISA will be using information from compelling evidence disputes to revise policies and improve the chargeback representation process

How Outside Expertise Can Help

Reducing losses from unwarranted chargebacks requires internal measures and, more often than not, the expertise of a third party to establish controls appropriate for your business environment. This is especially true with the ever-changing dispute landscape, as evidenced in the recent Reason Code 83 rule change. Because of the costs and time investment required for preventing and fighting card-not-present fraud, it’s important to carefully consider how you select a third-party vendor to aid in chargeback prevention and recovery.

The most effective solution is to find a vendor that closes the need gap and decreases costs and man hours.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NEED</th>
<th>SOLUTION</th>
<th>RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced chargeback volume</td>
<td>Identify a provider that broadly covers the vast number of chargeback reason codes with the flexibility to fully manage the resolution process or provide self-service options.</td>
<td>Lower realized chargeback volume and improved chargeback ratios and stability of bank processing capability. Less dependency on upfront fraud screening which may negatively impact sales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved chargeback dispute resolution process</td>
<td>Look for a provider with established processor and direct and integrated issuer relationships.</td>
<td>Direct Issuer integration avoids “false positives” and over-refunding. Seamless and timely receipt of chargeback data helps avoid additional chargebacks and shipping of merchandise/provision of services to fraudsters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurable ROI</td>
<td>Find a provider with a flexible, pay for performance model and established track record of identifying which chargebacks warrant dispute and a proven recovery rate success.</td>
<td>Avoids frivolous time waste and fosters better Issuer and Merchant collaboration. Clear success path. Avoids paying for time and labor without known return. Historical win rates provide better internal revenue and earnings forecasting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced man-hours wasted on non-core activities</td>
<td>Look for an experienced team with seamless workflows that are directly connected with card issuers to speed up resolution and recovery.</td>
<td>Improved utilization of internal resources toward core business, reduced cost, and improved cash flow.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Benefits of Total Chargeback Management

Total chargeback management requires merchants to take into consideration a number of risk management strategies. Regardless of whether or not you choose to implement some or all of these strategies, it is imperative to take the toll of chargebacks into consideration. With each chargeback comes the burden of cost that can rack up significantly. Take the example below. If we assume 1000 chargebacks per month with an average purchase price of $50 and an additional $50 in fines, fees and other costs associated with each chargeback, we see how quickly the costs stack up:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chargebacks per Month</th>
<th>1000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average purchase price</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other costs associated with managing chargebacks (fines, fees, time, etc.)</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cost of Chargebacks</strong></td>
<td><strong>$100,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the rate above, if a merchant is able to achieve a chargeback avoidance rate of 30%, the savings total $30,000. In taking it a step further, successfully representing 50% of unavoidable chargebacks results in additional savings of $35,000, significantly improving profit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E-</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>You prevent 30% of your chargebacks</td>
<td>$30,000 Saved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You successfully represent 50% of the remaining chargebacks</td>
<td>$35,000 Saved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Savings</strong></td>
<td><strong>$65,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to impacting profits, excessive chargebacks may also indicate problems in operations. Whether it is a quality control issue with merchandise, ineffective or misleading product marketing or another root cause, identifying and addressing these issues can significantly reduce the occurrence of chargebacks. Your business should conduct an analysis to identify points of failure. Not only will you reduce the impact of chargebacks on profit, but also your customer satisfaction will increase.

Trade Offs of Pre-Sale vs. Post-Sale

In this chapter, we’ve outlined total chargeback management, including understanding the reasons why chargebacks occur, operational process improvements to aid chargeback avoidance, managing unavoidable chargebacks effectively and recovering lost funds. This section also illustrated how great post-sale prevention and mitigation can allow merchants to loosen up front-end fraud tools to minimize lost sales from preventing validated transactions and provide a less disruptive customer experience. The following chapter will delve deeper into the recurring billing model and the impact of churn and will also touch upon some alternative billing models and best practices.
Maximizing Your Billing Efforts and Customer Retention

Chapter 4

Maximizing credit card acceptance for a CNP merchant is vital to profitability and longevity of the customer relationship, especially in recurring models. This section explores the different types of billing arrangements and various payment considerations for recurring, installment and negative option programs as well as best practices for managing each type of billing model.

Why Cards Decline

As payment card issuers respond to the recent historical and massive credit card breaches, more than 17.2 million new payment cards have been re-issued. New replacement cards and additional scrutiny are increasing unintentional payment decline rates for merchants with recurring subscriptions services such as apps, cable television, software, cell phones and other industries. In addition to a loss of billings, merchants are seeing a loss of customers. The top of mind question is: “How do I recover these losses?”

Maximizing Payment Card Acceptance

Payment card brands have increasingly been launching new products tailored to certain demographics, including electronic transfer (EBT) cards, rewards cards and prepaid cards. With these new products, more data has been introduced into the payment life cycle. This data has increased challenges in authorization management but has also created new opportunities for increased profitability. Improving billing and authorizations requires a secure payment processor that acts as a partner to aid in seizing these new opportunities and mitigating risk.

Much of this new available data is passed along to payment processors via the purchase authorization response, though because of the complexity and uniqueness of the data sets, some processing platforms do not have the capacity to adequately capture the data. This unique data has become an important differentiator for merchants and subsequently, for payment processors who are able to pass data in the authorization response. This enables merchants to better engage with customers via merchandising and retention strategies.

In the prior sections, we talked about safe and secure processing, proper customer authentication and optimizing your billing yield. Customer retention is an important part of optimized billing and relies upon the minimization of unnecessary card declines.

Any business that depends on monthly recurring revenue will see churn from unwanted credit card errors. Losing customers is bad; losing customers that want to continue paying you is especially painful.

DID YOU KNOW?

Just 20% of existing customers will be responsible for 80% of future profits, according to Gartner Group.
There are a number of reasons that cards decline, some of which can be prevented:

- Expired card accounts have not been updated
- Timing of the authorization
- Processing errors related to the authorization message

- Look at the authorization decline response codes - This message should come from your payment gateway and may offer additional insight into why a transaction declined.

Payment gateways should provide an error code along with a directory of error codes for merchants to reference as supplemental information to error responses. Cards decline for a variety of reasons, including communication errors, merchant errors, fraud prevention declines, soft declines and hard declines. Soft and hard declines occur frequently and we’ve outlined their causes and some examples in the following section.

Cards decline for a variety of reasons, including communication errors, merchant errors, fraud prevention declines, soft declines and hard declines. Soft and hard declines occur frequently and we’ve outlined their causes and some examples in the following section.

Soft vs. Hard Declines

Most hard declines require action on behalf of the issuing bank or cardholder before the outstanding issue will be resolved, making subsequent authorization attempts unlikely to succeed. Reasons for hard declines include “card stolen,” “invalid card” or “account closed.”

Soft declines are transactions that may be successful with a subsequent attempt. Reasons for soft declines include “insufficient funds,” “processor declined,” or “voice authorization required.” The industry standard is to reattempt the transaction up to three times over a number of weeks. It is also recommended that merchants reach out to the customer - especially in the case that subsequent authorization attempts fail - to obtain an alternate form of payment.

The major difference between the two types of declines is that soft declines can be resubmitted one or two days after the decline occurred in an attempt to obtain a valid authorization. Hard declines should not be retried because the reason for the decline is not temporary as in a soft decline; this type of decline is not likely to be successful with subsequent retries. Understanding the types of declines and the different implications between them allow merchants to operate within an acceptable decline ratio.

TOOLS OFFERED BY VISA® AND MASTERCARD®

Visa and MasterCard offer a number of tools to merchants to improve authorizations and prevent declines and help CNP merchants protect revenue:

- **Visa Account Updater** The VAU helps merchants avoid declined transactions or interruptions to recurring billing due to invalid customer data by allowing issuers, acquirers and VISA merchants to exchange the most up-to-date customer data.

- **Recurring Payment Indicator (RPI):** RPI is a scoring method that VISA requires to be present in all authorization and clearing records. The RPI allows for the identification of recurring transactions as well as more accurate decision-making by Issuers. Because recurring transactions tend to be lower risk transactions as compared to single occurrence CNP transactions, they should be approved as long as the account is in good standing.

- **Address Verification Service** AVS helps high-risk merchants protect CNP revenue by verifying a VISA cardholder’s billing address with the issuer.

Card Verification Value 2 CVV2 is a three-digit number found on a VISA card used by merchants to verify that the customer actually possesses the card being used in a transaction.

- **Verified by Visa** This service provides verification and validation of a cardholder’s ownership of an account in real time by prompting customers to enter a password used to confirm the cardholder’s identity by the issuer.

- **Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard** The PCI DSS is comprised of twelve security requirements to protect cardholder data. This standard was created by VISA to provide merchants with consistent data security protocol.

- **Canceled Recurring Payment Transaction** This MasterCard service allows acquirers, issuers and merchants to avoid costly chargebacks by allowing issuers to block erroneous recurring transactions in the MasterCard authorization system, eliminating the charges from cardholder billing statements.
Decline Management and Customer Retention

Decline salvage and recycling programs can improve overall conversion rates. Take, for instance, the example below:

If a merchant has 10,000 current subscribers and the average monthly invoice at $50, that merchant will recognize $500,000 in revenue each month from the recurring base, if successful, on all billings. If we assume an average decline rate of 20%, and take into account a range of possible success rates through the decline salvage process, the numbers speak for themselves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Profit Improvement at Various Decline Salvage Rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ Value of Declines per month at 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Benefit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annualized Benefit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These conservative recovery rates alone illustrate the potential revenue that can be reclaimed, not to mention the lifetime value that can be salvaged for each customer retained and recovered.

Decline and Customer Retention

Churn is a problem – an expensive one – that can cost millions of dollars to your bottom line. Merchants should scrutinize the variables that cause customers to leave. This enables executives to predict and counter churn and maintain - if not boost - profitability. Taking a profit-centric approach allows companies to prioritize each vulnerable customer while considering the profitability of each customer in order to determine what action to take; in some cases, it is more profitable for a low-return/high-cost customer to churn.

CNP merchants with recurring payment business models see higher frequency of declined transactions - up to 25-30% more - and many merchants find that their current decline recycling process is not up to the challenge. By following best practices and using tools that minimize unnecessary and erroneous declines and help optimize billing authorization rates, these merchants can recover revenue that might have otherwise been uncollectable and lengthen the retention of their customer base.

The Economics of Churn and Decline Recovery

Churn is a problem for any company with a recurring billing model; profitability relies on receiving timely, recurring payments. A change in churn rate as little as 1% can mean a difference in millions of dollars to the bottom line.

Merchants should have a basic understanding about churn and its impact on business. Additionally, it is important to understand that churn not only happens when a customer comes up for renewal, but it also happens much earlier in the customer lifecycle. Simple reasons (such as an incorrect credit card number or expiration date, insufficient funds, credit card rejecting an international charge, or other technical issues) are as much to blame for churn as a cancellation. A negative churn rate negatively impacts profitability and valuation.
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Payment Process Optimization by Billing Type

Card-not-present merchants experience the flux of many variables within the payment life cycle; one of these variables is the type of billing model involved. Seamless execution of each type of billing model requires knowledge of and adherence to industry standards and awareness of the relevant considerations. This section outlines the most prevalent billing models and includes some of these considerations as well as meaningful guidelines for the optimization of each.

**RECURRING BILLING**

Recurring billing – sometimes referred to as subscription billing – refers to the payment option for customers to pay for a product or service at periodic intervals on an ongoing basis. Some examples of recurring merchants are those that sell magazine subscriptions, ongoing web services (SaaS providers), or products that ship monthly. Recurring billing is a convenient, pay-as-you-go model that can streamline continued business for merchants, though it does require a prudent level of operational efficiency as compared to single-time payments. By implementing industry best practices, CNP merchants can decrease card declines and optimize their recurring billing process.

1. **Opening the lines of communication** Customers should be able to easily locate a toll-free phone number, email address and procedures for canceling transactions via the merchant website or directly on the bill.

2. **Using clear billing descriptors** One of the leading causes of chargebacks is unclear billing descriptors that appear suspicious to cardholders. Any reference to a merchant’s URL or website should direct customers to a trove of information about directly contacting the merchant to resolve disputes. This prevents chargebacks and allows the consumer to work directly with the merchant to resolve billing issues.

3. **Updating terms & conditions** Policies should be upfront, understandable and easy to locate. It may be beneficial to rewrite or edit terms and conditions or even include a feedback form to gain consumer input on what a merchant currently has in place.

4. **Training or retraining sales and customer service** Recurring billing transactions can be a sore spot for consumers who feel wronged within the process. By ensuring that customer service and sales are well versed on company policies and procedures and able to communicate effectively with consumers on how to resolve issues or disputes, merchants can avoid costly chargebacks and other customer service issues.
NEGATIVE OPTION PROGRAM CONSIDERATIONS

Negative option billing is a model that includes goods or services that are provided automatically wherein the customer must pay for the service or specifically decline it in advance of billing. Because of the potential contentions that arise from this billing model, there are industry standards that merchants should follow when using this billing option.

- **Implement AVS** Transactions where there is “Zip Code Does Not Match” for the AVS response should be declined.
- **Implement CVV 2** Transactions where there is “No Match” for the CVV2 code should be declined.
- **Require additional opt-ins** Items like shipping insurance should not be auto-selected and must require additional opt-in from the customer.
- **Bill shipping and handling charges as part of the recurring charges** These should not be billed separately.
- **Do not use misleading marketing tactics** Card associations do not allow the use of marketing that implies the product is “free.”
- **Use clear communication** with customers as to the timing of charges and implement reminder notifications prior to billing with the option to cancel their account.
- **Trial period for a product or service should not require a customer’s credit card information** The merchant should send a reminder email near the end of the trial period requesting this information if there is a charge to continue receiving the goods or services after the trial period.

INSTALLMENT BILLING

Installment billing is a popular form of recurring payment. With installment billing, the recurring payments typically occur during a fixed time period, allowing for the cost of a good or service to be broken down into several smaller payments. The following tips can be helpful when processing installment payments:

- **Let the customer choose the billing date.** Let the customer choose the billing date to allow customers more flexibility and better plan how their funds will be withdrawn.
- **Be clear with billing descriptors** ensure they are set up correctly with your payment processor and will not confuse the customer.
- **Make it easy for customers to cancel** and clearly post the cancellation policy on your website. Clearly communicating the purchase agreement can aid in minimizing disputes and chargebacks.
- **Send email confirmations and billing reminders** prior to processing each payment.
- **Use AVS and confirm the CVV2 Code** when processing the first payment to confirm the validity of the billing address as well as the security codes of CNP payments.
- **Open the lines of available communication** for customer service issues and cancellation requests. Your website should contain a customer service number prominently.
The Future of the Payments Industry

LOOKING AHEAD: MOBILE, ALTERNATIVE AND EMERGING PAYMENTS

This eBook has discussed the basics of effective payment processing, fraud and risk management in the pre-sales timeline, navigating post-sales chargeback challenges and optimizing authorizations and billing. As merchants look forward to the future of the payments industry, abiding by these best practices and industry standards will serve as a solid roadmap in adopting and optimizing emerging payment technologies.

According to Javelin Strategy & Research, alternative payments are gaining traction as a percentage of overall online retail payments:36

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Payment Type</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prepaid/Gift Card</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online Alternative Payments</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Credit Card</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Store-Branded Credit Card</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debit Card</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The report goes on to say that more than 80% of online shoppers have utilized an alternative payment option, such as PayPal or Google Wallet, for an online purchase in the last 12 months. What’s more, these alternative payment services are expanding from e-commerce only into brick-and-mortar avenues. Javelin reports that PayPal is now an available payment method in 18,000 physical stores, where customers can use a PayPal card or PIN number to complete transactions.

Forrester Research estimates the mobile payments space will hit $90 billion by 2017.37 In 2013, total Google Wallet installs surpassed 5 million38 and Bitcoin – the total market of which is worth roughly $330 million – totaled about $14 million in transactions per day.39 While there are several new alternative payment options, mobile is continuing to gain rapid market adoption and is forefront on the minds of many business leaders.
A poll of 1,000 financial services, technology, telecommunications, and retail executives revealed that 83 percent of those executives believed that mobile payments will “achieve widespread mainstream consumer adoption” by 2015. Mobile commerce is expected to account for roughly 7% of overall electronic commerce sales by 2016, equating to $31 billion.

**MOBILE PAYMENTS, THE PROS & CONS**

There are many benefits and advantages for consumers that utilize mobile payments. Increased ease-of-use for customers on the go as well as the ability to use different cards for each transaction allows users to maximize their rewards and take advantage of card benefits. Mobile payment technologies tend to have built-in security features per the device as well as additional safeguards for verifying and validating a customer’s identity. Finally, consumers enjoy the same level of protection associated with their cards as they would regularly, per existing laws and regulations.

There are some disadvantages for consumers utilizing the mobile payment option. New alternative payment systems have blurred the lines of responsibility between wireless service providers, mobile payment system providers and financial institutions. Additionally, there is a lack of clarity concerning who maintains control of data created during mobile transactions, including shopping patterns, PII, social background and other sensitive consumer data. The existence of closed ecosystems and the lacking capability for interoperability may eventually restrict consumer choice or increase costs.
Conclusion

The payments ecosystem continues to evolve and change; as a result, streamlining and improving the overall payment process requires a compliant foundation supported by industry best practices. CNP merchants must continue to effectively address obstacles and maintain pace with current and emerging solutions to optimize payment processing and authorization.

Whether managed entirely in-house or via third-party, instituting sound practices in the payment processing life cycle contributes to process optimization, risk mitigation and increased profits. Subsequently, CNP merchants can see improvements in other key success metrics, including customer satisfaction, cost minimizations and the overall streamlining of business processes. CNP merchants that enact a proactive payment processing strategy instead of a reactionary one will find the payment ecosystem course easier to navigate and more apt to experience long-term business success.

About Verifi

Verifi™ is a premier provider of global electronic payment and risk management solutions. Since 2005, Verifi’s best-in-breed offerings have helped card-not-present (CNP) merchants reduce risk while increasing profitability. The highly customizable payment and real-time reporting platform serves as a foundation for Verifi’s suite of fraud solutions and risk management strategies. Our multi-layered approach enables transaction risk management and mitigation, billing optimization strategies, and total chargeback prevention and recovery services. Verifi is PCI Level 1 certified and headquartered in Los Angeles, California.
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